UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/632,704	07/31/2003	Douglas McLaggan	CISCP329/240201	3626
22434 BEYER WEAV	7590 09/02/200 PER LLP	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 7025		FAROUL, FARAH		
OAKLAND, CA 94612-0250			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/02/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/632,704	MCLAGGAN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	FARAH FAROUL	2616
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tid d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 / 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is FINAL . 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4)	awn from consideration. are rejected.	
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examination 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 31 July 2003 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected to by the Examination is objected.	a) accepted or b) objected to e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	oate

Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on August 11, 2008 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Any added limitations and/or claims to the original claim listing after First Action on the merits should be submitted with page(s)/paragraph(s) and/or line number(s) from the original specification to support the amendments.

Drawings

3. Figure 1A should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the

applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

4. Claims 15, 24, 39, and 48 are objected to because of the following informalities:

The word "simultaneous" recited in line 17 of claim 15 should be replaced with "simultaneously".

The phrase "capable of" recited in lines 15-16 of claim 24 should be deleted to render the claims positive.

The word "simultaneous" recited in lines 15 and 17 of claim 24 should be replaced with "simultaneously".

The phrase "capable of" recited in lines 19-20 of claim 30 should be deleted to render the claims positive.

The word "simultaneous" recited in lines 20-21 of claim 30 should be replaced with "simultaneously".

The phrase "capable of" recited in lines 21 and 23 of claim 39 should be deleted to render the claims positive.

The word "simultaneous" recited in lines 22-23 of claim 39 should be replaced with "simultaneously".

The phrase "capable of" recited in lines 1 and 3 of claim 48 should be deleted to render the claims positive.

Art Unit: 2616

The word "simultaneous" recited in lines 2 and 4 of claim 48 should be replaced with "simultaneously".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-7, 9, 15-21, 23, 24-27, 29-36, 38-48, and 49-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Independent claims 1, 15, 24, 30 and 39 recites the limitation "the first gateway device actively forwards packets simultaneously with the second gateway device, and the second gateway device actively forwards packets simultaneously with the first gateway device". A thorough search of the disclosure does not recite the word "simultaneously" or equivalent. The new matter is to be deleted from the claims.

Furthermore, the disclosure does not explicitly recite "the first gateway device actively forwards packets" or "the second gateway device actively forwards packets". This

Art Unit: 2616

limitation is to be deleted from the claim absent of any support from the specification. All claims dependent upon the above independent claims are subsequently rejected.

Claim 48 recites the limitation "the first gateway device actively forwards packets simultaneously with the second gateway device, and the second gateway device actively forwards packets simultaneously with the first gateway device". A thorough search of the disclosure does not recite the word "simultaneously" or equivalent. The new matter is to be deleted from the claims. Furthermore, the disclosure does not explicitly recite "the first gateway device actively forwards packets" or "the second gateway device actively forwards packets". This limitation is to be deleted from the claim absent of any support from the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 10-14 and 51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wils et al. (US 6,397,260 B1) in view of Wu et al. (US 7,065,043 B2).

For claim 10, Wils discloses assigning a first address set to the first gateway device, wherein the first address set comprises a plurality of forwarding addresses (Fig 3, R1 MAC-MA, MAC-MB), further wherein the first gateway device forwards packets sent by hosts and addressed to forwarding addresses in the first address set (column 7, lines 27-43);

Assigning a second address set to the second gateway device, wherein the second address set comprises a plurality of forwarding addresses (Fig 3, R2, MAC-MA, MAC-MB), further wherein the second gateway device forwards packets sent by hosts and addressed to forwarding addresses in the second address set (column 7, lines 27-43);

Adjusting the measured traffic flow by changing allocation of the forwarding addresses (column 7, lines 27-43 wherein the forwarding addresses may be reassigned

among the routers) and wherein the load-sharing redundancy group (load sharing set of Fig 3) provides failover services in the event that one of the gateway devices ceases operation (column 6, lines 2-7).

For claim 10, Wils discloses the entire claimed invention except for measuring the traffic flow for each forwarding address.

Wu, from the same or similar field of endeavor, teaches measuring the traffic flow for each forwarding address (see Fig 3 and 5, column 4, lines 45-57 and column 5, lines 45-55).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to combine the traffic monitoring method of Wu with the communication network of Wils to reduce congestion in the load-sharing system.

For claim 11, Wu discloses instructing a host to use the assigned forwarding address having the lowest measured traffic on one of the set of gateway devices, the one of the set of gateway devices having the lowest measured traffic flow (see Fig 3 and 5, column 4, lines 45-57 and column 5, lines 45-55).

For claim 12, Wils discloses the forwarding addresses are virtual MAC (vMAC) addresses (see Fig 3 wherein MAC-MA and MAC-MB are vMAC addresses).

For claim 13, Wils discloses the first gateway device is a first router and the second gateway device is a second router (R1 and R2 of Fig 3).

For claim 14, Wils discloses reassigning one of the forwarding addresses from the first address set to the second address set (column 7, lines 27-43).

Art Unit: 2616

For claim 51, Wils does not explicitly disclose the first gateway device and the second gateway device each operate as an Active Virtual Forwarder with the Gateway Load Balancing Protocol. However, Wils does teach that first and second gateway devices forward packets in a load-sharing redundancy group (column 7, lines 27-43). Thus, it would be obvious to one skilled in the art to operate the devices according to any load balancing protocol for redundancy.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to FARAH FAROUL whose telephone number is (571)270-1421. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:00 AM - 5 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Firmin Backer can be reached on 571-272-6703. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Farah Faroul/ Examiner, Art Unit 2616

/FIRMIN BACKER/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2616